

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,645	01/28/2002	Anthony Walter Anson	105005-0055C1	5057	
75	11/06/2003	EXAMINER			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. DEWITT ROSS AND STEVENS 8000 EXCELSIOR DRIVE, 4TH FLOOOR MADISON, WI 53717-1914			THALER, MICHAEL H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731,	3731 , 9	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	, /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					C			
		Applicatio	nN.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/058,64	10/058,645 ANSON ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael Ti		3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evertion. s, a reply within the statury period will apply and will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	⊓ication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>10 October 200</u>	<u>3</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is i	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
·	Claim(s) <u>24-49</u> is/are pending in the app	olication						
7)63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39 and 47-49</u> is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>24-29,31-38 and 40-46</u> is/are re	ejected.						
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>30</u> is/are objected to.	•						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Ex							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the Exa	miner.				
_	Applicant may not request that any objectio							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	faraian priority un	dor 25 U.S.C. \$ 110/a	s) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a	All b) Some * c) None of:	umanta haya baar	roccived					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachme	nt(s)							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		-	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 10/058,645

Art Unit: 3731

نر

Upon reconsideration, the election of species requirement is withdrawn.

Claims 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The preamble of claim 45 ("A stent") is inconsistent with the preamble of claim 35 ("A delivery system for placement of a stent"), from which it depends. Claim 46 has a similar problem.

Claims 24-29, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mazzocchi et al. (2002/0138095). Mazzocchi et al., in figures 5A and 5B, show a wire which is expandable to form an occluding anchor part 64 having turns of cycloidal form (figure 5B) which are substantially coplanar. Alternatively, the turns are obviously substantially coplanar since any deviation from a plane is small as compared to the overall shape of the device. As to claim 27, Mazzocchi et al. show another anchor part 64 and linking part 62. As to claim 32, note paragraph [0078] of Mazzocchi et al.

Claims 33-35, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mazzocchi et al. (2002/0138095). As to

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/058,645

Art Unit: 3731

 \bullet^{j^*}

claim 33, Mazzocchi et al. fail to disclose the specific coating of a protein. However, it was well known in this art that proteins used as pharmacological agents. Using a protein as pharmacological agent of Mazzocchi et al. would have been obvious for this reason. As to claim 34, Mazzocchi et al. fail to disclose a roughened surface on the wire. However, it was well known in this art that implanted articles in the body may have roughened surfaces in order to better retain pharmacological agents on them. Using a roughened surface on the wire of Mazzocchi et al. would have been obvious so that it too would have this advantage. As to claim 35, Mazzocchi et al., in the embodiment of figures 5A and 5B, fail to show a catheter, a placement member and a releasable However, Mazzocchi et al., in the embodiment of connection means. figures 6A-6C and 8 and described in paragraphs [0084] and [0085], teach that the implantable member may be conveniently delivered into the body by a catheter, a placement member (the elongate metal, flexible shaft) and a releasable connection means (at 90). It would have been obvious to use these members to deliver the implantable member of figures 5A and 5B so that it too would have this advantage. As to claims 44 and 45, Mazzocchi et al. fail to disclose the stent formed from a single length of wire. However, it was well known in this art that implantable members may be

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/058,645 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

formed from a single length of wire so that they are easily manufactured. It would have been obvious to so form the Mazzocchi et al. stent so that it too would have this advantage.

Claims 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamiya et al. (5,192,301). Kamiya et al., in figure 29, show a releasable connector (the right portion of plug 21'' including the thin neck at the end of the lead line for reference numeral 21'') for releasably interconnecting first part 23 to second part (the bulbous portion of plug 21'' left of the thin neck) comprising first connector region (the flange portion which is wrapped around ball shaped member 28) which has a shape memory effect (col. 8, lines 52-55) and second connector region (the thin neck). As to claim 37, Webster's II New Riverside Dictionary defines "bush" as "To furnish or line with a bushing." and defines "bushing" as "A fixed or removable lining used to constrain, quide or reduce friction." The Kamiya et al. flange portion which is wrapped around ball shaped member 28 is used to constrain and thus meets this broad definition to the same extent that member 30 of the application meets it.

Claims 40-43 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mazzocchi et al. (2002/0138095) in view of Kamiya et al. (5,192,301). Mazzocchi et al. fail to disclose the

Application/Control Number: 10/058,645

Art Unit: 3731

connector formed of temperature triggered shape memory material. However, Kamiya et al. teaches that a connector between the push rod and an implantable occlusion device should be formed of this material so that it can be easily disconnected when it reaches a certain temperature (col. 8, lines 45-55). It would have been obvious to so form the Mazzocchi et al. connector so that it too has this advantage.

Claim 30 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 39 and 47-49 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-29, 31-35,40-43 and 46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As to claim 36, Kamiya discloses a second connector region (the thin neck) which is secured to the second part (the bulbous portion of plug 21'' left of the thin neck) by a unitary connection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.